Care Quality Commission

**Inspection Report** 

*We are the regulator:* Our job is to check whether hospitals, care homes and care services are meeting essential standards.

Catherine Lodge		
36-42 Woodside Park Road, North Finchley, London, N12 8RP	Tel: 02084464292	
Date of Inspection: 05 February 2013	Date of Publication: March 2013	
We inspected the following standards in response to concerns that standards weren't being met. This is what we found:		
Respecting and involving people who use services	<ul> <li>Met this standard</li> </ul>	
Supporting workers	<ul> <li>Met this standard</li> </ul>	

1

# Details about this location

Registered Provider	Mrs N Stimpson
Registered Manager	Ms. Noreen Stimpson
Overview of the service	Catherine Lodge is a care home registered to accommodate a maximum of 29 people older people. Some of the people living in the home may have dementia.
Type of service	Care home service without nursing
Regulated activity	Accommodation for persons who require nursing or personal care

When you read this report, you may find it useful to read the sections towards the back called 'About CQC inspections' and 'How we define our judgements'.

	Page
Summary of this inspection:	
Why we carried out this inspection	4
How we carried out this inspection	4
What people told us and what we found	4
More information about the provider	4
Our judgements for each standard inspected:	
Respecting and involving people who use services	5
Supporting workers	6
About CQC Inspections	7
How we define our judgements	8
Glossary of terms we use in this report	10
Contact us	12

3

### Why we carried out this inspection

We carried out this inspection in response to concerns that one or more of the essential standards of quality and safety were not being met.

This was an unannounced inspection.

#### How we carried out this inspection

We looked at the personal care or treatment records of people who use the service, carried out a visit on 5 February 2013, observed how people were being cared for and talked with people who use the service. We talked with carers and / or family members and talked with staff.

#### What people told us and what we found

We spoke with five people who use the service, a relative and two healthcare professionals who visited the home. People who use the service informed us that they had been treated with respect and dignity. They spoke highly of staff and said they had been consulted and were involved in their care. Their views can be summarised by the following comment made by a person who use the service, "I am happy here. They treat me nicely. I could not wish for a better place."

We observed that people who use the service appeared comfortable and well cared for. Staff were seen assisting them with their meals and drinks. The care provided had been carefully monitored by staff. People and their representatives indicated that the care needs of people who use the service had been attended to. People informed us that their preferences regarding personal care had been respected.

We spoke with six staff. They informed us that there were arrangements for them to have regular supervision. Staff said they worked as a team and they had received support from their managers. Staff were knowledgeable regarding their roles and responsibilities and the individual needs of people who use the service.

You can see our judgements on the front page of this report.

### More information about the provider

Please see our website www.cqc.org.uk for more information, including our most recent judgements against the essential standards. You can contact us using the telephone number on the back of the report if you have additional questions.

There is a glossary at the back of this report which has definitions for words and phrases we use in the report.

Our judgements for each standard inspected

Respecting and involving people who use services

Met this standard

People should be treated with respect, involved in discussions about their care and treatment and able to influence how the service is run

## Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People's privacy, dignity and independence were respected. Their views and experiences were taken into account in the way the service was provided and delivered in relation to their care.

### **Reasons for our judgement**

We spoke with four people who use the service, a relative and two visitors to the home. They indicated that people had been treated with respect and dignity. Their views can be summarised by the following comment made by a person who use the service, "the staff take good care of me. They are respectful. They listen to me and treat me nicely".

We saw that staff were pleasant and attentive towards people. Staff stated that they had been informed during their training to treat all people with respect. The home had a policy for ensuring that all people were treated with respect and dignity. Staff informed us that they respect the preferences of people and people had a choice regarding how their care was to be delivered. People told us that they could choose if they wanted a shower or bath and when they wanted it. We were informed by staff and people that arrangements were in place to support people with their religious observances and cultural needs.

We observed that staff protected and respected the privacy of people. Staff knocked on the door before entering the bedroom of people and they closed the door while attending to the needs of people.

The registered manager informed us that meetings had been held during which people were kept informed regarding the management of the home and people were able to express their views.

The home had a service user guide which included the complaints procedure. People knew who to contact if they wished to discuss their care or if they had any concerns.

### **Supporting workers**

Staff should be properly trained and supervised, and have the chance to develop and improve their skills

### Our judgement

The provider was meeting this standard.

People were cared for by staff who were supported to deliver care and treatment safely and to an appropriate standard.

#### **Reasons for our judgement**

People and a relative expressed confidence in staff and indicated that staff were capable and competent. This was reiterated by two visiting healthcare professionals. The comments of people can be summarised by a comment from a person who use the service, "the staff do a good job and they are approachable. I am satisfied with my care".

We noted that staff worked well together and had a good understanding of the needs of people and how to care for them. Staff said they had been provided with essential training such as Food Hygiene, Health & Safety and Moving & Handling. This was confirmed in training records we saw. Staff were aware of measures for reducing the risk of infections and they stated that they were not restricted in their use of gloves.

Minutes of staff meetings had been recorded. These indicated that staff had been kept informed of care issues and issues related to the management of the home. Records of regular formal staff supervision had been kept. Staff indicated they had confidence in their managers and felt supported by their managers.

# **About CQC inspections**

We are the regulator of health and social care in England.

All providers of regulated health and social care services have a legal responsibility to make sure they are meeting essential standards of quality and safety. These are the standards everyone should be able to expect when they receive care.

The essential standards are described in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. We regulate against these standards, which we sometimes describe as "government standards".

We carry out unannounced inspections of all care homes, acute hospitals and domiciliary care services in England at least once a year to judge whether or not the essential standards are being met. We carry out inspections of dentists and other services at least once every two years. All of our inspections are unannounced unless there is a good reason to let the provider know we are coming.

There are 16 essential standards that relate most directly to the quality and safety of care and these are grouped into five key areas. When we inspect we could check all or part of any of the 16 standards at any time depending on the individual circumstances of the service. Because of this we often check different standards at different times but we always inspect at least one standard from each of the five key areas every year. We may check fewer key areas in the case of dentists and some other services.

When we inspect, we always visit and we do things like observe how people are cared for, and we talk to people who use the service, to their carers and to staff. We also review information we have gathered about the provider, check the service's records and check whether the right systems and processes are in place.

We focus on whether or not the provider is meeting the standards and we are guided by whether people are experiencing the outcomes they should be able to expect when the standards are being met. By outcomes we mean the impact care has on the health, safety and welfare of people who use the service, and the experience they have whilst receiving it.

Our inspectors judge if any action is required by the provider of the service to improve the standard of care being provided. Where providers are non-compliant with the regulations, we take enforcement action against them. If we require a service to take action, or if we take enforcement action, we re-inspect it before its next routine inspection was due. This could mean we re-inspect a service several times in one year. We also might decide to re-inspect a service if new concerns emerge about it before the next routine inspection.

In between inspections we continually monitor information we have about providers. The information comes from the public, the provider, other organisations, and from care workers.

You can tell us about your experience of this provider on our website.

# How we define our judgements

The following pages show our findings and regulatory judgement for each essential standard or part of the standard that we inspected. Our judgements are based on the ongoing review and analysis of the information gathered by CQC about this provider and the evidence collected during this inspection.

We reach one of the following judgements for each essential standard inspected.

<ul> <li>Met this standard</li> </ul>	This means that the standard was being met in that the provider was compliant with the regulation. If we find that standards were met, we take no regulatory action but we may make comments that may be useful to the provider and to the public about minor improvements that could be made.
X Action needed	This means that the standard was not being met in that the provider was non-compliant with the regulation. We may have set a compliance action requiring the provider to produce a report setting out how and by when changes will be made to make sure they comply with the standard. We monitor the implementation of action plans in these reports and, if necessary, take further action. We may have identified a breach of a regulation which is more serious, and we will make sure action is taken. We will report on this when it is complete.
✗ Enforcement action taken	If the breach of the regulation was more serious, or there have been several or continual breaches, we have a range of actions we take using the criminal and/or civil procedures in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and relevant regulations. These enforcement powers include issuing a warning notice; restricting or suspending the services a provider can offer, or the number of people it can care for; issuing fines and formal cautions; in extreme cases, cancelling a provider or managers registration or prosecuting a manager or provider. These enforcement powers are set out in law and mean that we can take swift, targeted action where services are failing people.

# How we define our judgements (continued)

Where we find non-compliance with a regulation (or part of a regulation), we state which part of the regulation has been breached. We make a judgement about the level of impact on people who use the service (and others, if appropriate to the regulation) from the breach. This could be a minor, moderate or major impact.

**Minor impact** – people who use the service experienced poor care that had an impact on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The impact was not significant and the matter could be managed or resolved quickly.

**Moderate impact** – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a significant effect on their health, safety or welfare or there was a risk of this happening. The matter may need to be resolved quickly.

**Major impact** – people who use the service experienced poor care that had a serious current or long term impact on their health, safety and welfare, or there was a risk of this happening. The matter needs to be resolved quickly

We decide the most appropriate action to take to ensure that the necessary changes are made. We always follow up to check whether action has been taken to meet the standards.

# Glossary of terms we use in this report

### **Essential standard**

The essential standards of quality and safety are described in our *Guidance about compliance: Essential standards of quality and safety*. They consist of a significant number of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009. These regulations describe the essential standards of quality and safety that people who use health and adult social care services have a right to expect. A full list of the standards can be found within the *Guidance about compliance*. The 16 essential standards are:

Respecting and involving people who use services - Outcome 1 (Regulation 17)

Consent to care and treatment - Outcome 2 (Regulation 18)

Care and welfare of people who use services - Outcome 4 (Regulation 9)

Meeting Nutritional Needs - Outcome 5 (Regulation 14)

Cooperating with other providers - Outcome 6 (Regulation 24)

Safeguarding people who use services from abuse - Outcome 7 (Regulation 11)

Cleanliness and infection control - Outcome 8 (Regulation 12)

Management of medicines - Outcome 9 (Regulation 13)

Safety and suitability of premises - Outcome 10 (Regulation 15)

Safety, availability and suitability of equipment - Outcome 11 (Regulation 16)

Requirements relating to workers - Outcome 12 (Regulation 21)

Staffing - Outcome 13 (Regulation 22)

Supporting Staff - Outcome 14 (Regulation 23)

Assessing and monitoring the quality of service provision - Outcome 16 (Regulation 10)

Complaints - Outcome 17 (Regulation 19)

Records - Outcome 21 (Regulation 20)

### **Regulated activity**

These are prescribed activities related to care and treatment that require registration with CQC. These are set out in legislation, and reflect the services provided.

# Glossary of terms we use in this report (continued)

# (Registered) Provider

There are several legal terms relating to the providers of services. These include registered person, service provider and registered manager. The term 'provider' means anyone with a legal responsibility for ensuring that the requirements of the law are carried out. On our website we often refer to providers as a 'service'.

### Regulations

We regulate against the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2010 and the Care Quality Commission (Registration) Regulations 2009.

### **Responsive inspection**

This is carried out at any time in relation to identified concerns.

#### **Routine inspection**

This is planned and could occur at any time. We sometimes describe this as a scheduled inspection.

### Themed inspection

This is targeted to look at specific standards, sectors or types of care.

# Contact us

Phone:	03000 616161
Email:	enquiries@cqc.org.uk
Write to us at:	Care Quality Commission Citygate Gallowgate Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4PA
Website:	www.cqc.org.uk

Copyright Copyright © (2011) Care Quality Commission (CQC). This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, free of charge, in any format or medium provided that it is not used for commercial gain. This consent is subject to the material being reproduced accurately and on proviso that it is not used in a derogatory manner or misleading context. The material should be acknowledged as CQC copyright, with the title and date of publication of the document specified.